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Abstract:

This research combines experiments and finite element modeling to predict the development of shear bands in rapidly expanding thin shells. Magnetic flux compression generators rely on the expansion of thin ductile shells to generate magnetic fields. This presentation focuses on the use of a microvoid damage model in order to model these shear bands and on the strong effect of the damage initialization on the timing of the shear band formation. It is proposed that the most physically realistic model of initial void volume fraction is a random variation with a characteristic length corresponding to the material grain size.

Thin cylindrical copper shells were subjected to internal explosive detonations and expanded outwardly at strain-rates on the order of \(10^4\) s\(^{-1}\). The outer surface of the shell was photographed using a fast framing camera. At approximately 150\% strain, multiple plastic instabilities were visible on the surface of these shells in a quasi-periodic pattern. Recovered fragments were metallographically examined to provide quantitative information on shear bands.

A viscoplastic constitutive model was formulated to model the high strain-rate expansion and provide insight into the development of shear bands. The model used the Mechanical Threshold Stress (MTS) constitutive model, the Mie-Grüneisen equation of state, and a modified Gurson yield surface. The model was implemented as a user material subroutine into the ABAQUS/Explicit commercial finite element code.

Predictions with a purely homogeneous material failed to predict shear banding correctly. The model predictions predicted the onset of shear banding too late and predicted the final thickness of fragments as too thin. It was realized that on the grain size scale the material is not homogeneous. The athermal stress term in the MTS model is known to vary with grains size. Modeling a variation in this term on the grain size scale greatly improved the prediction of shear banding.

A method for initializing the athermal stress variation using a physical length scale was developed. A set of random numbers varying over a specified range was generated and assigned to a rectangular grid with the spacing equal to the material grain size. Using spline interpolation and 2-D numerical integration, this grid was used to calculate the initial VVF for the elements in the model. This method was found to result in a different value for the shear band initiation strain than with the random numbers applied directly to each element. However, the convergence is slow, requiring about 4 elements per grain in a 2-D model.
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Introduction

- Adiabatic shear banding
  - Adiabatic: high rate = no heat transfer
  - Plastic work: \( \Delta T = \frac{1}{\rho C_p} \int_0^\varepsilon \bar{\sigma} \bar{\varepsilon}_p \)
  - If thermal softening > strain hardening
    localization ----> shear banding
Introduction

• Shear Banding in Dynamically Expanding Shells
  – Flux compression generators
  – Explosive Forming
  – Explosive Containment vessels
  – Explosive Bonding

• Desire to Predict Timing & Evolution
  – Finite element modeling (explicit)
Cylinder Experiments

- Explosively-loaded, end-detonated
- Copper: grain size: 40\(\mu\)m (large cylinders), \(\sim100\mu\)m (small)
- 2 tests: 4" (100mm) ID, 16" (400mm) long, PBX-9501 (energetic HE) filled, 0.1" & 0.2" (2.5 & 5mm) wall
- 2 tests: 2" (50mm) OD, 8" (200mm) long, \(\sim0.25"\) (6mm) annulus of nitromethane, 0.3 " & 0.36 " (7.6 & 9.2 mm) wall, inner copper cylinder
• **Diagnostics:**
  – Fast framing camera (used 3-5 µs frame time)
  – Visar/Fabry-Perot interferometry

• **Uses:**
  – Validate finite element model with disps, velocities
  – Observe shear banding formation on surface, final fracture
Experiments - Recovered Fragments

- Cross-Section of soft-catch fragment, smaller cylinders only
- Many Shear bands evident
- Data
  - Shear band spacing
  - Fragment thickness gives upper bound on final failure
• Same test, 20% thicker cylinder
• Void plane
• Less developed shear bands
• lower strain at failure
Modeling Approach

- Axisymmetric FEM model
  - ABAQUS Explicit + VUMAT
  - Adiabatic Heating
  - HE burn, JWL EOS
  - Matches data
  - Wrong plane for shear bands
• Pressure load instead of HE elements
  – carefully extracted from axisymmetric contact forces
• Refined mesh to capture shear banding
Quantifying Model Results

- Track final fragment thicknesses
- Plateaus quickly
- Unambiguous
- No fragments for energetic shots
- $t_{\text{min}}/t_{\text{max}} = 0.9$
- Compare with optical record of perturbation appearance
Big cylinders
necking too late

Small Cylinders
fragments too thin (=necking too late)

FEM with Johnson-Cook constitutive law predicts
shear banding too late in time
MTS constitutive model

• **Physically realistic**
  - Mechanical Threshold Stress is internal state variable (as compared to just strain, strain rate, temperature)
  - Evolution controlled by thermally activated interaction of dislocations with obstacles

\[
\frac{\sigma}{\mu} = \frac{\sigma_a}{\mu} + S_i(\dot{\epsilon}, T) \frac{\hat{\sigma}_i}{\mu_0} + S_\varepsilon(\dot{\varepsilon}, T) \frac{\hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon}{\mu_0}
\]

\(\mu\) is shear modulus = \(f(T)\)

\(\sigma_a\) is athermal, rate independent term (interaction of dislocations with long-range barriers, like g.b.’s)

\[
S_i(\dot{\epsilon}, T) = \left\{ 1 - \frac{kT}{\mu b^3 g_{oi}} \ln \left( \frac{\dot{\epsilon}_{oi}}{\dot{\epsilon}} \right) \right\}^{1/q_i}^{1/p_i}, \sigma_i = \text{constant}
\]

\(t = \text{intrinsic barriers (e.g. Peierls stress), non-evolving}\)

\[
\frac{d\hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon}{d\varepsilon} = \theta_0(\dot{\varepsilon}, T) \left[ \tanh \left( \alpha \frac{\hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon}{\hat{\sigma}_\varepsilon(\dot{\varepsilon}, T)} \right) \right] \frac{\tanh(\alpha)}{\alpha}
\]

\(\varepsilon = \text{strain-evolving}\)
  - Dislocation accumulation (strain hardening)
  - Dislocation annihilation (recovery)
  - Rate sensitivity
MTS model

• 4 tests with our Copper
  – 2 low rate, $T_{\text{room}}$ tensile
  – 2 high rate Hopkinson bar, $T_{\text{room}}$ & 200 C

MTS fit excellent
• Use well-studied Copper parameters, slight changes only for our material
• Well calibrated for higher strains

Johnson-Cook fit poor
• Does not capture physical behavior
MTS model

Big cylinders
necking too late

Small Cylinders
fragments only
slightly too thin

Better - but not quite
Modeling Approach

Homogeneous Models Don’t Work

- Shear bands come later than observed

Initial Approach

- Void growth model (Gurson)
- Predictions good if use random spatial variation in initial void volume fraction
- Voids not observed experimentally

Mechanisms (Meyers; Curran, Seaman, & Shockey)

- Second phase particles & carbides form voids
- Dislocation pileup & release at grain boundaries
- Grain size inhomogeneity
- Textural localization

ALL HAVE GRAIN SIZE LENGTH SCALE

MTS athermal stress

- Long range (i.e., grain size) interactions
- depends on grain size (Gourdin & Lassilla 1991)

\[ \sigma_a = \frac{0.278}{d^{1/2}}, \text{d in m, } \sigma \text{ in MPa} \]

Initialize with \( \sigma_a \) variation on grain size scale
Initializing Random Damage

RANDOM NUMBERS BYELEMENT
• = Element Integration Point

Refined Mesh

Grainsize

Element size

Initial Damage

Position

Grainsize

Element size

Element size
- Randomly initialized $\sigma_a$
- Element size = 90 $\mu$m
- 5MPa to 15MPa
Predicted fragment sizes

• Conclusion: perturbations important, probably grain-sized

• Very speculative: much more work needed
Framing Camera Pictures
• Beginning of shear localization
• Equivalent plastic strain rate plotted here
Experiments - Recovered Fragments

- Cross-Section of soft-catch fragment, smaller cylinders only
- Many Shear bands evident
- Data: Shear band spacing, fragment thickness gives upper bound on final failure