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Classical earthquakes are easily located by triangulation. For more obscure seismic events, geophysicists are developing the trick of playing the movie backwards.

Before the 20th century there were few seismometers. So Earth’s dynamic geophysical processes were poorly understood. Today the potential for understanding those processes is enormous: the number of seismic instruments is continually increasing, their data are easily stored and shared, and computing power grows exponentially. As a result, seismologists are rapidly discovering new kinds of seismic signals in the frequency range 0.001–100 Hz, as well as relatively large nonseismic displacements, monitored by the global positioning system, occurring over days or weeks.

Such new signals manifest geophysical events very different from classical earthquakes. A classical earthquake occurs when one crustal block slips past another at a fault surface (see the box on page **). The stored elastic energy released in such an earthquake, broadcast over a wide frequency range, produces a seismic signal that stands out against background noise (see figure 1a). By contrast, a glacial earthquake due to the strike–slip motion of an ice mass against background noise, as shown in figure 1b. Other new event types go by names like seismic tremor, subduction earthquake, and slow-slip event. Each has a distinctive signal structure.

A principal goal of geophysics is to translate signal features such as spatial and temporal structure and amplitude into Earth motions. Location, as determined by comparing signals arriving at dispersed detectors, is a primary issue. For instance, pinpointing a classical earthquake places it in a specific plate-tectonic setting. Its radiation pattern indicates how the fault blocks moved—for example, subduction or strike-slip. Spatial and temporal signal structure let seismologists estimate the stress released by the earthquake and assess the prospects for subsequent seismic activity—in particular, strong ground shaking, the most dangerous consequence of earthquakes and an essential input for civil engineering and architecture.

The new kinds of events, subjects of intense investigation, offer the promise of additional insight into Earth processes. The observation of episodic tremor and slip in the Cascadia subduction zone of the US and Canadian Pacific Northwest constrains estimates of the extent of the locked segment of the zone’s fault system. That segment, which last ruptured in 1700 at magnitude 9, threatens Seattle and Vancouver.

Glacial earthquakes and Earth hum are coupled to atmospheric conditions and thus serve as proxies for monitoring climate. The locations, signal structures, and depths of seismic sources are relevant to monitoring for violations of the nuclear non-proliferation treaty. For example, a shallow event with a spherically symmetric radiation pattern is almost certainly an explosion, whereas a deep event with a strike-slip radiation pattern is presumably an earthquake.

Classical earthquakes are relatively easy to locate by triangulation, which relies on the ability to identify the arrivals of $P$ and $S$ waves (see the box and figure 1a). With a set of $P$-wave arrival times at various seismometer locations and a model for $P$-wave velocities, one can find the source. One gets even more reliable location from the difference between $P$- and $S$-wave arrivals because the ratio of their speeds is nearly constant, irrespective of the medium. Source location can be improved by also using surface waves, which arrive later.

Location by time reversal

For the new event types, source location is more challenging. In general, they exhibit no identifiable $P$- and $S$-wave arrivals. The tremor signal in figure 1c makes the point; it emerges from (and returns to) the background noise without exhibiting sharp timing features. Tracking such a signal back to its source requires a new approach. Time-reversal (TR) methods, which don’t need sharp timing features, have significant potential for solving the source-location problem for the new event types. Here we describe recent developments in the use of TR methods in geophysics.

Beyond seismology, time-reversal methods, described early in their development by Mathias Fink in PHYSICS TODAY (March 1997, page 34), have emerged as powerful tools for employing acoustic and elastic waves in signal processing, imaging, and the inspection of materials. Imagine a movie of a pebble dropped into a pond, ripples moving outward. Stop the movie and run it backwards to the moment of the pebble drop. That thought experiment contains the essential recipe for TR. The movie run forward, from pebble drop to ripple arrival at some location on the pond, is the recipe’s forward part. The second step, the movie run backward to the pebble drop, is the time-reversed part.

Translated into an experimental protocol, the recipe is illustrated in figure 2. In the experiment shown, eight transducers spaced around the periphery of an aluminum plate record the arrivals of signals propagated from a vertical blow delivered near the center of the plate’s underside. The transducers then rebroadcast time reversals of the received signals back into the plate. The result, monitored on the plate by a laser vibrometer, exhibits local peaks. The largest peak correctly reveals the location of the instigating blow. Smaller peaks are due to reflections and the incomplete coverage of the periphery by the transducers.
Of course, when playing the pond-ripple movie backward, you rebroadcast much more information than can this experiment, with its discrete transducer network. In the movie, a point on the pond’s surface is first passed by the concentric ripples from the pebble drop, then by ripples scattered from a protruding rock, later by ripples that encountered bulrushes, and later still by ripples that scattered off obstacles farther out. Over time, multiple propagation paths provide more and more information about the source.

A sparse set of detectors is a make-do surrogate for the reversed-movie ideal. When the signals impinging on a detector site are time-reversed, they unfold in reversed sequence as they return to the source. When time-reversed, any arbitrary time segment will return to the source. Therefore TR methods don’t need for identifiable timing features.

How is the TR recipe implemented in geophysics? An unknown source broadcasts a signal that is then received at a set of seismic stations. In the illustration in figure 3, seismic signals arriving at different times at three stations are recorded. In a computer simulation, the signals are time-reversed and rebroadcast from their respective stations into a model of the elastic structure of Earth. Such Earth models specify propagation velocity as a function of position. The TR wakefield in the Earth model is the examined for focusing, which should reveal the location and nature of the source.

There are three important ingredients in numerical implementation of the TR recipe: the set of seismic stations, the velocity model, and the choice of “imaging fields” chosen for inspecting the results. The velocity model probably introduces the greatest uncertainty. Velocity models are created by aggregating any information that will help—for example, local borehole data and, on larger scales, propagation-time data from seismic events. A promising recent development in the honing of velocity models is the so-called adjoint model, which involves differences between real seismic signals and models for those signals.8 The development of increasingly reliable velocity models is an ongoing quest.

Geophysical applications

Let us describe several examples of TR methods in geophysics. The first use of TR for source location was made in the early 1980s. Using ideas that grew out of seismic signal-processing schemes for hydrocarbon exploration, George McMechan and colleagues at the University of Texas at Dallas assembled the essential ingredients of the TR method.9 They successfully imaged the source of a small 1983 aftershock earthquake in California. Their purely acoustical rebroadcast was into a two-dimensional model of a 15-by-12-km vertical...
Fault motions. (a) A strike–slip fault like the San Andreas fault in California involves two blocks sliding past each other at an approximately vertical interface. (b) At a subduction zone, blocks slide past each other at a roughly horizontal interface. In the Pacific Northwest, for example, the Juan de Fuca tectonic plate slides eastward under the North American plate.

Radiation patterns. (c) A polarity lurch at a point on a strike–slip fault launches elastic waves with displacement polarity varying around the source. Regions that gain or lose material in the process are marked, respectively, by + or −. The elastic-wave radiation pattern elucidates the nature of the lurch. (d) By contrast, an explosive source, such as an underground nuclear weapons test, launches a symmetrical radiation pattern with the same displacement phase in all directions. (e) A lurch at a subduction zone is intrinsically much like a strike–slip lurch. But its elastic-wave broadcast pattern looks very different on the surface.

Waves. (f) Earth’s elastic bulk transmits compressional waves (P waves) and shear waves (S waves). (g) Surface waves, strongly excited by near-surface sources, also come in two varieties: Rayleigh waves are largely compressional, and Love waves are pure shear waves. The surface waves generally dominate seismic signals. They decay exponentially with increasing depth. (Adapted from ref. 18.)

slice of the formation that contained the seismic stations and the suspected source. That first application revealed the limitations imposed by the seismic-station set, the velocity model, and computing power.

More recent applications of TR exhibit the fruits of great advances in all three limiting factors. Figure 4 shows a result from a TR study of the 2004 magnitude-9 Sumatra earthquake. That cataclysmic event was caused by a rupture of about 1200 km that moved from south to north along the Indonesian subduction zone over about seven minutes. It was recorded by seismic stations around the world.

The signals recorded at 165 stations over a time interval of 8000 s (long enough to record surface waves circling the planet in opposite directions) were time-reversed and rebroadcast into a whole-Earth velocity model. Figure 4 shows the resulting last 1000 seconds of the time-reversed return of the displacement field to its extended source. As the wavefront returns to the source region beginning at about 400 s, the focus moves from north to south, showing the history of the rupture in reverse order. From figure 4a (at 1000 s), it is apparent that the return broadcast, with its incomplete wavefront, is not simply the movie of a pebble in a pond run backward. The incomplete wavefront circle is due to limited station coverage as well as to characteristics of the seismic event itself.

Figure 5 shows a TR result from a 2001 magnitude-5 glacial earthquake in Greenland. The seismic signals, recorded at 146 stations over 4000 s, were filtered to include only frequency components from 0.01 to 0.02 Hz (periods of one or two minutes), which carry most of the information from glacial earthquakes. The filtered time trains were time-reversed and rebroadcast into an Earth velocity model. The figure shows the surface velocity field thus deduced for southern Greenland at $t = 0$, the instant of the quake’s onset.

The velocity-magnitude field (figure 5a) indicates two distinct foci at opposite ends of a particular glacier on Green-

The Geophysical lexicon section includes diagrams illustrating fault motions, radiation patterns, and wave types. Each diagram is accompanied by a brief explanation of the concept it represents.
land’s east coast, which the vertical-component field (figure 5b) differentiates: At the seaward front of the glacier, the source was a downward surface motion due presumably to a sudden seaward slip. The other source, at the glacier’s back end, was a corresponding upward surface thrust as pressure was released. Such displacements involve shifts in both normal and shear forces on Earth’s surface that accompany the movement of glaciers.

A TR wave-propagation scheme typically yields the vector displacement field $\mathbf{u}$. That field can be used in various ways, as the Greenland glacial-quake analysis shows. Additional useful information can often be gleaned by examining derivative imaging fields such as $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}$ and off-diagonal strain—that is, the variation along one direction of displacements in an orthogonal direction.

The new event types

Glacial earthquakes, Earth hum, and meteorological events such as hurricanes belong to the event set that defines “environmental seismology,” a term coined by French geophysicist Jean-Paul Montagner. With seismic signatures that don’t have the timing signature of classical earthquakes, they are all candidates for TR methods.

Among the new event types, tremor is the most enigmatic. In 2002, geophysicist Kazushige Obara observed tremor along the subducting Philippine Sea plate near Japan. That initial observation of tremor has been followed by many more. Roughly speaking, tremor is a low-amplitude, noise-like signal in the frequency range 1–10 Hz. At a single station, tremor appears amidst the noise and looks unremarkable. It becomes evident as a seismic event when the noise signals recorded at several stations far apart are found to be correlated in time.

In the example of figure 1c, the tremor occurred during the passage of the seismic wave from an earthquake in Alaska. The tremor was five orders of magnitude fainter than the quake’s seismic wave. The spiky tremor signal repeatedly emerged from and disappeared into the ambient noise with the periodicity of the quake’s Love-wave component. Similar behavior was seen at a dozen stations over an area 100 km square. The tremor did not accompany the seismic waves from the earthquake site itself. Rather, it was triggered near the stations by the passage of the quake’s propagating stress field. In other examples, tremor accompanies slow slip on faults, can occur with earthquakes, and can be stimulated by tides. Tremor is sometimes randomly intermittent or quasi-periodic.

Locating and characterizing the source of tremor broad-
Green’s function for elastodynamics implies that the in-plane response on the perimeter to a vertical drive at the center must be the same as the vertical response at the center to in-plane drives on the perimeter. Thus one could broadcast from each perimeter transducer, record the signal received at the center, time-reverse it, and broadcast it from the source transducer. That would result in signals that converges on the center and then diverges from it as if a true source were located there. The center has become a virtual source.

That scenario can be used to explore geological formations when, for instance, the perimeter detectors are a set of surface transducers surrounding a down-hole receiver. A virtual source is created at the down-hole receiver when broadcasts from the surface are detected and time reversed at the down-hole receiver. Recently Andrey Bakulin and coworkers have used that scheme for imaging beneath a seismically opaque geologic stratum.17

A powerful tool
We have described how TR methods applied to the 2004 Sumatra earthquake showed the devastating event unfold backward in time, revealing its extended source and the direction of its rupture. Application of TR to a glacial earthquake revealed its source and evidence of the forces to which it subjected the surface.

In cases such as glacial earthquakes and tremors, obscure timing signatures can sometimes be extracted from complex seismic signals. Those signatures yield useful limits on duration and, most important, on frequency content. In principle, TR methods can use those signatures for a rebroadcast that reveal the locations and characteristics of sources.

The successful application of TR methods depends on adequate coverage by seismic stations, reliable velocity models, and sufficient computational resources. As those crucial resources continue to improve, TR will find growing application as a powerful tool in the suite of methods for elucidating seismic processes.
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