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Abstract

- In honor of Lance Armstrong’s seven consecutive Tour de France cycling victories, we present Tour de HPcycles. While the Tour de France may be known only for the yellow jersey, it also awards a number of other jerseys for cycling excellence.

The goal of this panel is to delineate the “winners” of the corresponding jerseys in HPC. Specifically, each panelist will be asked to award each jersey to a specific supercomputer or vendor, and then, to justify their choices.
The Jerseys

- **Green Jersey (a.k.a Sprinters Jersey):** Fastest consistently in miles/hour.
- **Polka Dot Jersey (a.k.a Climbers Jersey):** Ability to tackle difficult terrain while sustaining as much of peak performance as possible.
- **White Jersey (a.k.a Young Rider Jersey):** Best "under 25 year-old" rider with the lowest total cycling time.
- **Red Number (Most Combative):** Most aggressive and attacking rider.
- **Team Jersey:** Best overall team.
- **Yellow Jersey (a.k.a Overall Jersey):** Best overall supercomputer.
Panelists

• David Bailey, LBNL
  - Chief Technologist. IEEE Sidney Fernbach Award.
• John (Jay) Boisseau, TACC @ UT-Austin
  - Director. 2003 HPCwire Top People to Watch List.
• Bob Ciotti, NASA Ames
• Candace Culhane, NSA
  - Program Manager for HPC Research. HECURA Chair.
• Douglass Post, DoD HPCMO & CMU SEI
  - Chief Scientist. Fellow of APS.
Ground Rules for Panelists

• Each panelist gets SEVEN minutes to present his position (or solution).
• Panel moderator will provide “one-minute-left” signal.
• During transitions between panelists, one question from the audience will be fielded.
• The panel concludes with 30-40 minutes of open discussion and questions amongst the panelists as well as from the audience.
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What We’ve Seen at SC2006

- Remarkable performance:
  - 280.6 Tflop/s on Linpack.

- Remarkable application results:
  - At least six papers citing performance results over 10 Tflop/s.
  - Numerous outstanding papers and presentations.

- Remarkable system diversity:
  - Well-integrated “constellation” systems (e.g., IBM Power).
  - Several vector-based systems (e.g., Cray X1E, NEC).
  - Numerous commodity cluster offerings (e.g., Dell, HP, California Digital).
  - Impressive add-on components (e.g., Clearspeed).
  - FPGA-based systems (e.g., SRC, Starbridge).
Fastest consistently in miles/hour:

- IBM BlueGene/L
  - 280.6 Tflop/s Linpack performance.
  - 101.7 Tflop/s on a molecular dynamics material science code.

No contest!
Polka Dot Jersey
(Climber’s Jersey)

Ability to tackle difficult terrain while sustaining as much of peak performance as possible:

- The Japanese Earth Simulator (ES) system (by NEC): 67.6% of peak on 2048 processors, on a Lattice-Boltzmann MHD code.

Honorable mention:

- Cray’s X1E system: 41.1% of peak on 256 MSPs, on the Lattice-Boltzmann MHD code.
- IBM Power3: 39.8% on 1024 CPUs, on the PARATEC material science code.

These results are from Oliker et al (SC2005 paper 293).
White Jersey
(Young Rider Jersey)

Best under-25-year-old rider with the lowest total cycling time:

- IBM BlueGene/L: 101.7 Tflop/s on molecular dynamics material science code.
Red Number
(Most Combative)

Most aggressive and attacking rider:

- Vendors of commodity clusters, including:
  - Dell – Sandia system #5 on Top500.
  - IBM – Barcelona system, #8 on Top500.
  - California Digital – LLNL system, #11 on Top500.
  - Hewlett-Packard – LANL system, #18 on Top500.
  - Apple Computer – Virginia Tech system, #20 on Top500.
  - Linux Networks – ARL system, #25 on Top500.
  - 360 commodity cluster systems in the latest Top500.

Warning to established HPC vendors: Beware the killer micros – fight them or join them.
Team Jersey

Best overall team:
- IBM
  - Strongest presence on Top500 list, with 219 systems and 52.8% of installed performance.
  - Variety of system designs: BlueGene/L, Power, clusters.

Honorable mention:
- HP
  - Second strongest presence on Top500 list, with 169 systems and 18.8% of installed performance.
- Cray
  - A rising star with impressive, well-balanced systems, designed specifically for real-world scientific computing.
Best overall supercomputer:

- IBM BlueGene/L
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Terascale Systems Lead
NASA Advanced Supercomputing Division (NAS)
What's a Supercomputer gotta do?
Computational Challenges

Embarrassingly Parallel

Simple Well Understood Computations

Highly Complex and Evolving Computations

Tightly Coupled
Classes of Computation

• Large Scale Breakthrough Investigations
  – Hurricane Forecast, Ocean Modeling, Shuttle Design

• Baseline Computational Workload – Daily Pedestrian Work
  – Existing Engineering/Science Workloads

• Emergency Response
  – Unexpected Highest Priority Work
    • Drop everything else and solve this problem
  – Periodic requirement for mission critical analysis work
    • Shuttle Flight Support, STS fuel line, X37 heating
Productivity

HPC Development FACTORS
- Full Cost of Implementation
  - Design/Develop/Debug/Maintenance
- Time Sensitive Value
- Opportunity Cost
  - What aren’t you doing because you are too busy developing parallel code?
- Flexibility in approach
  - OpenMP - MPI – pthreads – shmem – etc…
- Scalability/Performance
- Efficient access to data
  - High performance file systems
  - High sustained performance on entire problem
- Deployment
  - Quick and Straight Forward
Whats a Supercomputer gotta be?
Operational Load
(solves all your problems)

20 Nodes

2048

System Load past 24 Hours
Reliability - The Gold Standard: Cray C90

Von Neumann - 16p C90 Unscheduled Interrupt History

"Time.Since.Last.Outage" ———

Max Up Time: 70.6 days
Performance

- 5.2 Tflops at 4016
Awards
Notable Retirements

- Single Level Programming
  - Multi-level implementations will draft behind Multi-core and fatter node system.
- Benchmarks that require single level programming
DNF – Did not Finalize

- MPI
  - Still not getting along with the Domain Scientists

- BlueGeneL
  - Unable to establish a reliable track record
Red Jersey - Disruptive

- Luxtera
White Jersey

- Most Innovative
- Most likely to be a future repeat winner
White Jersey

- Most Innovative
- Most likely to be a future repeat winner

- Sun Microsystems
  - HERO System
## The Contenders

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
<th>Performance 1</th>
<th>Performance 2</th>
<th>Performance 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOE/NNSA/LLNL</td>
<td>Bg/L</td>
<td>IBM</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IBM TJ Watson</td>
<td>BG/L</td>
<td>IBM</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOE/NNSA/LLNL</td>
<td>ASC Purple</td>
<td>IBM</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>81%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASA/Ames</td>
<td>Columbia</td>
<td>SGI</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandia</td>
<td>Thunderbird</td>
<td>Dell</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandia</td>
<td>Red Storm</td>
<td>Cray</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Earth Simulator</td>
<td>NEC</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>88%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Polka Dots
Lance says climbing is “Hard Work”

Has to be:

- Widely accessible
- Reliable
- Ballanced
  - (I/O – Compute)
- Loaded up

SC’05
Polka Dots

Lance says climbing is “Hard Work”

Has to be:

- Widely accessible
- Fairly Reliable
- Ballanced
  - (I/O – Compute)
- Loaded up

- Columbia
Yellow Jersey

- Still Fastest at the finish
- Unlimited team budget
- Didn’t win every stage
Yellow Jersey

- Still Fastest at the finish
- Unlimited team budget
- Didn’t win every stage

- Earth Simulator
Tour de HPCycles

Tommy Minyard
November 18, 2005
Green Jersey (Sprinter)

- IBM BlueGene/L
Polka Dot Jersey (Climber)

- Cray X1E
White Jersey (Young Rider)

- Infiniband
Red Number (Aggressive)

- Dell HPCC
Best Team

- IBM
Yellow Jersey (Best Overall)

- SGI Altix
Department of Defense
High Performance Computing Modernization Program

Computer Performance: Computers and Codes

Douglass Post
Chief Scientist—HPCMP

Acknowledgements: Roy Campbell, Larry Davis, William Ward

Tour de HPCyles
18 November 2005
And the winners could be:

- **Green (fastest sprinter):** SGI Altix on Gamess, followed by IBM P4+ on Gamess, but depends on application
- **Polka Dot (most capable):** SGI Altix(2.41), Cray X1 (2.01), IBM P4+ (1.54), IBM Opteron (1.51): based on the weighted performance for the DoD benchmark suite
- **White (best youngest):** Linux Networx
- **Red (most aggressive):** no data
- **Team Jersey (best team):** HPCMP suite of computers
- **Yellow Jersey (best overall computer):** depends on application but the HPCMP suite comes closest
DoD High Performance Computing Modernization Program goal is to provide the best mix of computers for our mix of customers.

- HPCMP measures performance on prospective platforms using application benchmarks that represent our workload as part of the basis of our procurement decisions.
- 8 benchmark codes in 2005\(^1\)
- 4920 users from approximately 178 DoD labs, contractors and universities
- 12 platforms from 5 vendors (Cray, IBM, HP/Compaq, Linux Networks, and SGI) at our four computer centers.
- Performance for a single code varies among platforms
  - Maximum performance/minimum performance ranges from 3.26 to 180.
- Performance for a single platform varies among codes
  - Maximum performance/minimum performance ranges from 1.42 to 47.
- No single benchmark measures useful performance over the range of applications

TI-05 Application Benchmark

Codes

- Aero – Aeroelasticity CFD code
  (Fortran, serial vector, 15,000 lines of code)
- AVUS (Cobalt-60) – Turbulent flow CFD code
  (Fortran, MPI, 19,000 lines of code)
- GAMESS – Quantum chemistry code
  (Fortran, MPI, 330,000 lines of code)
- HYCOM – Ocean circulation modeling code
  (Fortran, MPI, 31,000 lines of code)
- OOCore – Out-of-core solver
  (Fortran, MPI, 39,000 lines of code)
- CTH – Shock physics code
  (~43% Fortran/~57% C, MPI, 436,000 lines of code)
- WRF – Multi-Agency mesoscale atmospheric modeling code
  (Fortran and C, MPI, 100,000 lines of code)
- Overflow-2 – CFD code originally developed by NASA
  (Fortran 90, MPI, 83,000 lines of code)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HPC Center</th>
<th>System</th>
<th>Processors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Army Research Laboratory (ARL)</td>
<td>IBM P3</td>
<td>1,024 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SGI Origin 3800</td>
<td>256 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IBM P4</td>
<td>768 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Linux Network Cluster</td>
<td>256 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LNX1 Xeon Cluster</td>
<td>2,100 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IBM Opteron Cluster</td>
<td>2,372 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SGI Altix Cluster</td>
<td>256 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Retired in FY 05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 01 and earlier</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired in FY 05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aeronautical Systems Center (ASC)</td>
<td>Compaq SC-45</td>
<td>836 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IBM P3</td>
<td>528 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>COMPAQ SC-40</td>
<td>64 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SGI Origin 3900</td>
<td>2,048 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SGI Origin 3900</td>
<td>128 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IBM P4</td>
<td>32 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SGI Altix Cluster</td>
<td>2,048 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HP Opteron</td>
<td>2,048 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 01 and earlier</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired in FY 05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC)</td>
<td>Compaq SC-40</td>
<td>512 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compaq SC-45</td>
<td>512 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SGI Origin 3000</td>
<td>512 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cray T3E</td>
<td>1,888 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SGI Origin 3900</td>
<td>1,024 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cray X1</td>
<td>64 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cray XT3</td>
<td>4,176 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 01 and earlier</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired in FY 05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naval Oceanographic Office (NAVO)</td>
<td>IBM P3</td>
<td>1,024 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IBM P4</td>
<td>1,408 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SV1</td>
<td>64 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IBM P4</td>
<td>3,456 PEs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 01 and earlier</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 04</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY 05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired in FY 05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As of: April 05
Current User Base and Requirements

- 613 projects and 4,920 users at approximately 178 sites
- Requirements categorized in 10 Computational Technology Areas (CTA)
- FY 2006 non-real-time requirements of 282 Habu-equivalents

- Electronics, Networking, and Systems/C4I – 34 Users
- Environmental Quality Modeling & Simulation – 183 Users
- Computational Fluid Dynamics – 1,227 Users
- Computational Structural Mechanics – 525 Users
- Computational Chemistry, Biology & Materials Science – 332 Users
- Computational Electromagnetics & Acoustics – 347 Users
- Integrated Modeling & Test Environments – 617 Users
- Signal/Image Processing – 439 Users
- Forces Modeling & Simulation – 916 Users

67 users are self characterized as “other”
Performance depends on the computer and on the code.

- Normalized Performance = 1 on the NAVO IBM SP3 (HABU) platform with 1024 processors (375 MHz Power3 CPUs) assuming that each system has 1024 processors.

- GAMESS had the most variation among platforms.

Substantial variation of codes for a single computer.

Relative code performance

• GAMESS had the most variation among platforms.

Code Performance (by machine)

Code performance (grouped by machine)
Performance range of codes is large.
General conclusions

• Performance depends on application and on the computer
• Tuning for a platform can pay off in a big way
• Shared memory is really good for some codes
And the winners could be:

- Green (fastest sprinter): SGI Altix on Gamess, followed by IBM P4+ on Gamess, but depends on application
- Polka Dot (most capable): SGI Altix (2.41), Cray X1 (2.01), IBM P4+ (1.54), IBM Opteron (1.51): based on the weighted performance for the DoD benchmark suite
- White (best youngest): Linux Networx
- Red (most aggressive): no data
- Team Jersey (best team): HPCMP suite of computers
- Yellow Jersey (best overall computer): depends on application but the HPCMP suite comes closest