

A Definition of Simulation Uncertainty & A View of Total Uncertainty



Mark Anderson

Experiment & Diagnostic Design Group Dynamic Experimentation Division Los Alamos National Laboratory

June 28, 2001

PART 1—A DEF'N OF SIMULATION UNCERTAINTY

<u>Overview</u>

- Why do we care?
- What is it?
- How does it apply to our models?
- What technologies are available?
- What technologies are being developed?
- What is the path forward?





WHY DO WE CARE ABOUT UNCERTAINTY?

- Science-based stockpile stewardship requires data and models
 - Test measurements
 - High-fidelity physics-based models (FEM, etc.)
 - Low-fidelity physics-based models (SDOF, etc.)
 - Surrogate models
- Decisions will be based on our model predictions
 - Safety
 - Security
 - Economic
 - Military
- Accuracy and robustness is crucial to acceptance
- Accuracy/robustness \Rightarrow quantified uncertainties



WHAT IS UNCERTAINTY?

Aleatoric uncertainty (also called Variability)

- Inherent variation
- Irreducible
- Epistemic uncertainty (also called simply Uncertainty)
 - Potential deficiency
 - Lack of knowledge
 - Reducible?
- Prejudicial uncertainty (also called Error)
 - Recognizable deficiency
 - Bias
 - Reducible



HOW DOES IT APPLY TO OUR MODELS?



	of the contrainty of
Sources	of uncertainty
 Measurements 	
_	Noise
_	Resolution,
_	Quantization
_	Processing
 Mathematical models 	
_	Equations
_	Geometry
_	BCs/ICs
_	Inputs
_	Deterministic chaos
 Numerical models 	
_	Weak formulations
_	Discretizations
_	Approximate solution algorithms
-	Truncation and roundoff
 Surrogate models 	
-	Approximation error
-	Interpolation error
-	Extrapolation error
 Mode 	el parameters
	Sources Mease – – – Math – – Math – – Nume – – Surro – <li< th=""></li<>



A SIMPLE EXAMPLE

"Truth" Model



$$m\ddot{x} + kx + \varepsilon x^{3} = F(t)$$
$$x(0) = x_{0}, \ \dot{x}(0) = \dot{x}_{0}$$

- Measurement uncertainty
 - Forcing function & ICs
 - Response
- Math model uncertainty

 $m\ddot{x} + kx = \hat{F}(t)$ $x(0) = \hat{x}_0, \ \dot{x}(0) = \hat{x}_0$

- Equation form
- Forcing function & Ics
- Sensitive dependence on ICs
- Numerical solution uncertainty
 - Integration algorithm
 - Time step (discretization)
- Parameters





WHAT TECHNOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE?

Data

- Calibration w.r.t. conventional standards
- Noise characterization
- "Similar" or "inverse" signal processing
- Mathematical models (Not much!)
- Numerical models
 - Bounds for discretization errors
 - Bounds for approximate solution techniques
 - Bounds for truncation/roundoff errors
- Surrogate models
 - DOE
 - Residual analysis
 - ANOVA
- Parameters
 - Sensitivity analysis
 - Monte Carlo
 - Reliability methods (FORM, SORM, AMV, AMV+, FPI)
 - Fuzzy set & interval propagation methods
 - Stochastic FEM







GENERIC VIEW OF TOTAL UNCERTAINTY



WHAT TECHNOLOGIES ARE BEING DEVELOPED?

Measure theoretic methods

- Probability theories—frequentist, Bayesian, Koopman-Carnap
- Dempster-Schafer theory
- Possibility theory

Set theoretic methods

- Fuzzy set theories—classical, grey, intuitionistic, rough
- Interval arithmetic
- Convex sets & convex modeling
- Dynamical systems methods
 - Strange attractor theory
 - Liapunov exponents
 - Complexity theory







PROBABILITY THEORY V. DST

Probability theory—

Based on classical measure theory (additivity)

 $Pr: 2^{x} \rightarrow [0,1]$ $Pr(\emptyset) = 0$ Pr(X) = 1

$$\Pr\left(\bigcup_{i} A_{i}\right) = \sum_{i} \Pr(A_{i}) - \sum_{j < k} \Pr(A_{j} \cap A_{k})$$
$$+ \dots + (-1)^{n+1} \Pr\left(\bigcap_{i} A_{i}\right)$$

$$\Pr\left(\bigcap_{i} A_{i}\right) = \sum_{i} \Pr(A_{i}) - \sum_{j < k} \Pr(A_{j} \bigcup A_{k}) + \dots + (-1)^{n+1} \Pr\left(\bigcup_{i} A_{i}\right)$$

 Dempster-Schafer theory—
 Based on fuzzy measure theory (monotonicity & semicontinuity)

Bel: $2^x \rightarrow [0,1]$ Pl: $2^x \rightarrow [0,1]$ Bel $(\emptyset) = 0$ Pl $(\emptyset) = 0$ Bel(X) = 1 Pl(X) = 1

$$\operatorname{Bel}\left(\bigcup_{i} A_{i}\right) \geq \sum_{i} \operatorname{Bel}(A_{i}) - \sum_{j < k} \operatorname{Bel}(A_{j} \cap A_{k}) + \dots + (-1)^{n+1} \operatorname{Bel}\left(\bigcap_{i} A_{i}\right)$$

 $\operatorname{Pl}\left(\bigcap_{i} A_{i}\right) \leq \sum_{i} \operatorname{Pl}(A_{i}) - \sum_{j < k} \operatorname{Pl}(A_{j} \bigcup A_{k}) + \dots + (-1)^{n+1} \operatorname{Pl}\left(\bigcup_{i} A_{i}\right)$



PROBABILITY THEORY V. POSSIBILITY THEORY

Probability theory—

Based on classical measure theory (additivity)

 $Pr: 2^{x} \rightarrow [0,1]$ $Pr(\emptyset) = 0$ Pr(X) = 1

$$\Pr\left(\bigcup_{i} A_{i}\right) = \sum_{i} \Pr(A_{i}) - \sum_{j < k} \Pr(A_{j} \cap A_{k})$$
$$+ \dots + (-1)^{n+1} \Pr\left(\bigcap_{i} A_{i}\right)$$

$$\Pr\left(\bigcap_{i} A_{i}\right) = \sum_{i} \Pr(A_{i}) - \sum_{j < k} \Pr(A_{j} \cup A_{k}) + \dots + (-1)^{n+1} \Pr\left(\bigcup_{i} A_{i}\right)$$

Possibility theory— Based on fuzzy measure theory (semicontinuity)

Pos: $2^x \rightarrow [0,1]$ Nec: $2^x \rightarrow [0,1]$ Pos(\emptyset) = 0Nec(\emptyset) = 0Pos(X) = 1Nec(X) = 1

$$\operatorname{Pos}\left(\bigcup_{i} A_{i}\right) = \sup_{i} \operatorname{Pos}(A_{i})$$

$$\operatorname{Nec}\left(\bigcap_{i} A_{i}\right) = \inf_{i} \operatorname{Nec}(A_{i})$$



POTENTIAL UNCERTAINTY METRICS

- Hartley measure for nonspecificity $H(A) = \log_2 |A|, |A|$ is cardinality of A
 - Generalized Hartley measure for nonspecificity in DST

$$N(m) = \sum_{A \in 2^{X}} m(A) \log_2 |A|, m : 2^{X} \rightarrow [0,1], m(\emptyset) = 0, \sum_{A \in 2^{X}} m(A) = 1$$

U-uncertainty measure for nonspecificity in possibility theory

$$U(r) = \sum_{i=2}^{n} (r_{i} - r_{i+1}) \log_{2} i, r(x) = \operatorname{Pos}(\{x\}), r_{i} \ge r_{i+1} \forall i$$

Shannon entropy for total uncertainty in probability theory

$$S(p) = -\sum_{x \in X} p(x) \log_2 p(x)$$

- Generalized Shannon entropy for total uncertainty in DST $AU(Bel) = \max_{n} \left(-\sum_{x} p_x \log_2 p_x\right), Bel(A) \le \sum_{x} p_x \forall A \in 2^x$
- Hamming distance for fuzzy sets $f(A) = \sum_{x \in Y} [1 - |2A(x) - 1|], A(x)$ is membership function **Dynamic Experimentation Division**



WHAT IS THE PATH FORWARD?

Some type of uncertainty quantification is required

Salient points

- Measure predictive capability \Rightarrow Compare data & predictions
- Experiments should be designed to facilitate comparisons
- "Adequate" quantification of predictive capability \Rightarrow lots of data
- Interpolation/extrapolation beyond observations \Rightarrow inference
- No comprehensive framework/toolbox exists
 - Application dependent
 - Different types of uncertainty require different tools

Hypothetical approach

- Characterize measurement uncertainty
- Characterize/propagate parametric uncertainty
- Bound/propagate solution uncertainty
- Estimate (generically) total uncertainty
- "Subtract" to estimate model uncertainty



Dynamic Experimentation Division

PART 2—A VIEW OF TOTAL UNCERTAINTY

Overview

■ What is total uncertainty?

- Prototypical application: linear structural dynamics
 - Methodology
 - Example: Space truss structure
- Generalization to arbitrary applications
 - Methodology
 - Example: Nose cone crushing
 - Example: Blast response of R/C wall
- Conclusions



WHAT IS TOTAL UNCERTAINTY?

Total uncertainty is simply a measure of the difference between experimental data and model predictions

Practical considerations

There rarely exists enough samples for a given simulation scenario

Simple differencing leads to "small differences of large numbers"

A candidate approach

- Consider "generic classes" of test-analysis comparisons
- Normalize information so that differences are "perturbations"



PROTOTYPICAL APPLICATION: LINEAR DYNAMICS

Classical normal modes

- $({}^{\circ}K {}^{\circ}\lambda^{\circ}M^{\circ})\phi = 0$...analysis $(K - \lambda M)\phi = 0$...test
- Modal mass and stiffness matrices

$$^{\circ}m = {}^{\circ}\phi^{{}^{\tau}{}^{\circ}}M^{\circ}\phi = I$$

 ${}^{\circ}k = {}^{\circ}\phi^{{}^{\tau}{}^{\circ}}K^{\circ}\phi = {}^{\circ}\lambda$

Assumed "true" modal mass and stiffness matrices

$$m = {}^{\circ}m + \Delta m = I + \Delta m$$
$$k = {}^{\circ}k + \Delta k = {}^{\circ}\lambda + \Delta k$$

Normalization of test modes

$$\phi^{T^0} M \phi = I$$

Cross-orthogonality of analysis and test modes

 $\phi = {}^{\circ}\phi\psi \dots \text{assumed}$ ${}^{\circ}\phi^{T^{\circ}}M\phi = {}^{\circ}\phi^{T^{\circ}}M^{\circ}\phi\psi = \psi$

Differences between analysis and test modes

$$\Delta \lambda = \lambda - {}^{\circ} \lambda$$
$$\Delta \phi = \phi - {}^{\circ} \phi = {}^{\circ} \phi (\psi - I) = {}^{\circ} \phi \Delta \psi$$



PROTOTYPICAL APPLICATION (CONT'D)

- Normalized modal metrics for total uncertainty quantification $\Delta m = -(\Delta \psi + \Delta \psi^{T})$ $\Delta \widetilde{k} = {}^{0} \lambda^{-1/2} (\Delta \lambda - {}^{0} \lambda \Delta \psi - \Delta \psi^{T^{0}} \lambda)^{0} \lambda^{-1/2}$ $\Delta \zeta = \zeta - {}^{0} \zeta$
 - Vectorization of normalized differences

$$\Delta \widetilde{r} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{vec}(\Delta m) \\ \operatorname{vec}(\Delta \widetilde{k}) \\ \operatorname{vec}(\Delta \zeta) \end{cases}$$

 Structure-specific covariance matrix of uncertainty (biased)

$$\mu_{\Delta \widetilde{r}} = E[\Delta \widetilde{r}]$$
$$S_{\widetilde{r}} = E[(\Delta \widetilde{r} - \mu_{\Delta \widetilde{r}})(\Delta \widetilde{r} - \mu_{\Delta \widetilde{r}})^{T}]$$

 Generic covariance matrix of total uncertainty (unbiased)

 $\mu_{\Delta \widetilde{r}} = 0... \text{assumed}$ $S_{\widetilde{r}\widetilde{r}} = E[\Delta \widetilde{r} \Delta \widetilde{r}^{T}]$

Propagate thru model

- Linear covariance propagation
- Interval propagation
- Monte Carlo simulation



EXAMPLE: SPACE TRUSS STRUCTURE

NASA/LaRC 8-bay truss structure

- Force input at Nodes 4 and 7
- Acceleration response measured at Nodes 1 through 32



STRUCTURE-SPECIFIC VS. GENERIC VARIATION

Structure-specific variability

Modal Mass



Normalized Modal Siffness



Dynamic Experimentation Division

Generic class variability

Modal Mass



Normalized Modal Stiffness



National Letter

PREDICTIVE ACCURACY FOR SPACE TRUSS







Dynamic Experimentation Division





UNCERTAINTY QUANTIFICATION WORKING GROUPO-6/28/01-20

GENERALIZATION TO ARBITRARY APPLICATIONS

Response matrix

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x(t_1; \theta_1) & x(t_1; \theta_2) & \cdots & x(t_1; \theta_n) \\ x(t_2; \theta_1) & x(t_2; \theta_2) & \cdots & x(t_2; \theta_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x(t_m; \theta_1) & x(t_m; \theta_2) & \cdots & x(t_m; \theta_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

Singular value decomposition

$$=\eta^T D\phi^T, \quad \eta\eta^T = \phi^T \phi = I_p$$

[Note: Papers use "X" = $X^T = \phi D\eta$]



Dynamic Experimentation Division

GENERALIZATION (CONT'D)

Differences between analysis and test

$$\Delta \phi = \phi - {}^{0}\phi$$
$$\Delta D = D - {}^{0}D$$
$$\Delta \eta = \eta - {}^{0}\eta$$

Cross-orthogonality matrices

$$\psi = {}^{0}\phi^{T}\phi$$
$$\nu = {}^{0}\eta\eta^{T}$$

Normalized differences

$$\Delta \Psi = \Psi - I_p$$

$$\Delta \nu = \nu - I_p$$

$$\Delta \tilde{D} = \frac{1}{\operatorname{Trace}({}^{0}D)} (D - {}^{0}D)$$

Vectorization of differences

$$\Delta \widetilde{r} = \begin{cases} \operatorname{vec}(\Delta m) \\ \operatorname{vec}(\Delta \widetilde{k}) \\ \operatorname{vec}(\Delta \zeta) \end{cases}$$

 Structure-specific covariance matrix of uncertainty (biased)

$$\mu_{\Delta \widetilde{r}} = E[\Delta \widetilde{r}]$$

$$S_{\widetilde{R}} = E[(\Delta \widetilde{r} - \mu_{\Delta \widetilde{r}})(\Delta \widetilde{r} - \mu_{\Delta \widetilde{r}})^{T}]$$

 Generic covariance matrix of total uncertainty (unbiased)

 $\mu_{\Delta \widetilde{r}} = 0... \text{assumed}$ $S_{\widetilde{R}} = E[\Delta \widetilde{r} \Delta \widetilde{r}^{T}]$



GENERALIZATION (CONT'D)

- Consider data as function of normalized parameters $u(\tilde{r}) = \operatorname{vec}[X(\tilde{r})]$
- First order Taylor series approximation of data

$$\Delta u \approx T_{u\tilde{r}} \Delta \tilde{r}, \quad \Delta u = u(\tilde{r}) - u({}^{0}\tilde{r}), \quad (T_{u\tilde{r}})_{ij} = \frac{\partial u_{i}}{\partial \tilde{r}_{j}} \bigg|_{\tilde{r}={}^{0}\tilde{r}}$$

"Propagate" uncertainty

$$S_{UU} = E\left(\Delta u \Delta u^{T}\right)$$
$$\approx E\left(T_{u\tilde{r}}\Delta \tilde{r} \Delta \tilde{r}^{T} T_{u\tilde{r}}^{T}\right)$$
$$\approx T_{u\tilde{r}} S_{\tilde{R}\tilde{R}} T_{u\tilde{r}}^{T}$$

Generate uncertainty bands

$$\sigma_{U} = \operatorname{diag} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{(S_{UU})_{11}} & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & \sqrt{(S_{UU})_{n_{u}n_{u}}} \end{bmatrix}$$



EXAMPLE: NOSE CONE CRUSHING

Nosecone aeroshell



Test setup



Simplified, axisymmetric DYNA3D model



Actual buckling pattern





PREDICTIVE ACCURACY FOR NOSE CONE





Dynamic Experimentation Division

EXAMPLE: BLAST RESPONSE OF R/C WALL

Scenario

- 3-room buried bunker
- Explosion in center room

Measurements



- Displacements (12 sensors, 4 locations)
- Pressures (10 sensors, 9 distinct locations)

Structure model

- DYNA3D (customized LLNL version)
 - ► ~80,000 continuum elements
- ~20,000 beam elements

Load model

- CFD code (SHARC?)
- Uncoupled from structure
- Validated w.r.t. pressure measurements





GENERIC CLASS VARIATION

Typical Singular Values

Normalized Singular Values



Left Eigenvector Cross-Orthogonality

Right Eigenvector Cross-Orthogonality



Dynamic Experimentation Division

UNCERTAINTY QUANTIFICATION WORKING GROUPO-6/28/01-27

Servin

PRE-UPDATE PREDICTIVE ACCURACY FOR R/C WALL

Left Horizontal Quarter Point



Center Point



Upper Vertical Quarter Point



Lower Vertical Quarter Point





POST-UPDATE PREDICTIVE ACCURACY FOR R/C WALL

Left Horizontal Quarter Point



Center Point



Upper Vertical Quarter Point



Lower Vertical Quarter Point





Dynamic Experimentation Division

CONCLUSIONS

Total uncertainty quantification requires

- A generic class of test-analysis pairs
- A means of normalizing the differences
- A method for propagating uncertainty thru the model
- Total uncertainty is more realistic than parametric uncertainty

Some unresolved questions

- How are generic classes defined/interpreted?
- What are the statistical issues involved?
- Can this approach be made rigorous?
- Can total uncertainty be used in conjunction with other types of uncertainty quantification to deal with the issue of model uncertainty?

