

Slow Dynamics of Consolidated Granular Systems: Multi-scale Relaxation

2

3 Parisa Shokouhi^a, Jacques Rivière^b, Robert A. Guyer^c, and Paul A. Johnson^c

4

5 ^aDepartment of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Pennsylvania State University, 215
6 Sackett Building, University Park, PA 16802, USA

7 ^bUniversity Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Institute of Earth Sciences (ISTerre), 38000 Greno-
8 ble, France

9 ^cLos Alamos National Laboratory, MS D446, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

10

11 **ABSTRACT**

12

13 Dynamic acousto-elastic testing, a pump-probe scheme, is employed to investigate the
14 recovery of consolidated granular media systems from the non-equilibrium steady state
15 established by a pump strain field. This measurement scheme makes it possible to fol-
16 low the recovery from the non-equilibrium steady state over many orders of magnitude in
17 time. The recovery is described with a relaxation time spectrum that is found to be inde-
18 pendent of the amplitude of the non-equilibrium steady state (pump amplitude) and of
19 the environment in which samples reside. The non-equilibrium steady state and its slow
20 recovery are the laboratory realization of phenomena that are found in many physical
21 systems of practical importance.

22

23

24 Granular assemblies, a sandstone, a soil, a sand dune, are accorded special attention
25 when they mobilize, e.g., the Big Sur mudslide [1], Loma Prieta earthquake [2], and sing-

16 ng sands [3]. For these systems many of the properties so revealed are conferred not
27 by the units being assembled but by the bond system that gives the assembly structure.
28 Laboratory samples of granular assemblies often carry properties not found in conven-
29 tional materials. A Berea sandstone and in fact nearly all rocks have hysteresis and end
30 point memory in their quasi-static response [4]; they have response to steady state drive
31 that is a non-analytic function of the drive amplitude [5,6]. These behaviors are well stud-
32 ied [7] and have been known about since at least the early 1900's [8]. Less well studied
33 are the "slow dynamics" of the transient responses of granular assemblies.

34
35 Earlier studies have reported a time-logarithmic relaxation back toward the unperturbed
36 elastic modulus after the perturbation. The observed behavior is independent of the test
37 material or method used: resonance frequency [6], Larsen frequency [9] or change of
38 velocity [10]. Consequently, the handful of phenomenological models developed to de-
39 scribe this behavior predict a $\log(t)$ recovery [6,11]. One study [9] has presented evi-
40 dence of faster than $\log(t)$ relaxation in cement paste and sandstone at early times
41 ($t \sim 10^{-3}s$). The model proposed in [12] describes a multi-scale relaxation phenomenon
42 that takes place on different temporal and spatial scales. The one proposed in [13] is
43 based on the existence of metastable contacts and predicts a non logarithmic behavior
44 at early times. Such descriptions are common to a variety of disordered mechanical sys-
45 tems [14,15].

46
47 Pump-probe experimental methods, in particular dynamic acousto-elastic testing
48 (DAET), perfected over the last decade [16–19], allow us to look at the transient behav-
49 ior of granular assemblies with unprecedented detail. In this paper we report the results
50 of a pump-probe investigation of weakly consolidated granular assemblies that spans 8
51 orders of magnitude in time, as opposed to one or two decades in earlier stud-

52 es [6,9,10]. We employ DAET to investigate the return to equilibrium of the elastic prop-
53 erties of rock and mortar samples that have been placed in a carefully estab-
54 lished/controlled non-equilibrium steady state. The influences of the thermodynamic en-
55 vironment in which the samples are maintained and the strength of the drive, which es-
56 tablishes the steady state, are investigated. We present our findings in terms of a spec-
57 trum of relaxation rates that can serve as the signature of a sample.

58
59 The experimental system we employ, shown schematically in Figure 1 (left panel), is a
60 pump-probe system. Each sample, a cylindrical specimen ($L = 15\text{-}17\text{cm}$, $\phi = 2.54\text{cm}$), is
61 driven in the fundamental compressional mode by a piezoelectric ceramic disk S_1 that is
62 glued to the sample bottom and to a heavy backload. A miniature accelerometer R_1 ,
63 glued to the sample top, monitors the dynamic strain field created by S_1 , i.e., the pump
64 strain field ϵ_P ($\epsilon_P \sim 4 \times 10^{-6}$ and $f_P \sim 4.5 \text{ kHz}$ in Figure 1). The send-receive probe sys-
65 tem, an ultrasonic transducer pair $S_2\text{-}R_2$, is positioned 2 cm above the pump source S_1 .
66 The sender S_2 (1 MHz-center frequency) broadcasts low-strain ($< 10^{-7}$) pulses that cross
67 the sample to R_2 every $\Delta T = 0.1\text{ms}$ (10^{-4}s) throughout the experiment. The basic
68 measurements, of the experience of the probe pulse in crossing the sample, are of the
69 timing of the arrival of the probe pulse at R_2 . These measurements, requiring high preci-
70 sion, make it necessary to have environmental control. As a consequence the experi-
71 mental system resides in an environmental chamber that allowed temperature control
72 (e.g., $T(^{\circ}\text{C}) = 23.0 \pm 0.2$) and moisture control (e.g., relative humidity $\text{RH}(\%) = 50.0 \pm$
73 0.2).

74
75 The protocol for making measurements on a sample comprises: (1) placing the sample
76 in the environmental chamber for at least 12 hours before testing (pump off and probe

off); (2) initiate probe broadcasts at $t = 0$; (3) turn on pump at $t = 0.1s$ (the pump ring-
up/ring-down time is $\sim 0.02s$); (4) keep pump on for about $1s$ after which it is turned off,
 $t = 1.1s$; (6) the probe, turned on at $t = 0$, is on continuously out to 30 minutes following
the time when the pump is turned off.

The basic measurements, the timing of the arrival of the probe pulse at R_2 , involve find-
ing the shift in arrival time using cross-correlation methods. These shifts in time are put
in the form of a relative shift in sound speed $\Delta c(t)/c_0 = (c(t) - c_0)/c_0$, where c_0 is the
sound speed before the pump is turned on and $c(t)$ is the sound speed at time t . The
behavior of the pump strain over the experimental protocol is shown in Fig. 1(a) and the
attending behavior of the shift in sound speed is shown in Fig. 1(b). The evolution of the
sound speed occurs in the six time domains marked on the figures: (1) pre-pump, (2)
ring up of pump strain, (3) ring up to steady, driven elastic state (non-equilibrium steady
state), (4) non-equilibrium steady state, (5) ring down of pump strain, and (6) recovery
from the non-equilibrium steady state. There is partial overlap between domains 2 and 3
as well as domains 5 and 6. The slow dynamics is seen in the recovery of the sound
speed in time domain 6, following the ring down of the pump strain. Careful study of the
behavior of the samples in the non-equilibrium steady state, domain 4, show the non-
equilibrium steady state to possess anomalous elastic properties. Here we focus on the
time domain 6, $t_0 < t < t_{max}$, to examine the slow dynamics of the recovery of the sys-
tem from the non-equilibrium steady state, with t_0 corresponding to the end of the ring-
down of the pump strain ($t_0 = 1.12s$ in Fig. 1).

In Fig. 2(a) we show the behavior of $\Delta c(t)/c_0$ as a function of time measured from t_0 .

The time axis is logarithmic in order to draw attention to the many time scales involved in

102 the recovery from the non-equilibrium steady state. To quantify the description of this
 103 recovery we introduce the concept of a *relaxation time spectrum*. The scheme to find the
 104 relaxation time spectrum is illustrated in Figure 2.

105

106 We assume the recovery of $\Delta c(t)/c_0$ to be able to be represented as a sum of discrete
 107 exponential decays each having an amplitude A_n and time constant τ_n , i.e.,

$$108 \quad \zeta(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{m \times N} A_n e^{-\frac{t}{\tau_n}}, \quad A_n \geq 0, \quad (1)$$

109 where t is measured from t_0 and the time constants τ_n , which span N decades, $\Delta T \leq$
 110 $\tau \leq \Delta T \times 10^N$, are assigned *a priori*. The amplitudes A_n are determined by minimizing
 111 the least squares objective function

$$112 \quad E = \int_{t_0}^{t_{max}} \left[\frac{\Delta c(t)}{c_0} - \zeta(t) \right]^2 dt \quad (2)$$

113 We choose τ_n such that there are m logarithmically spaced time constants in each dec-
 114 ade:

$$115 \quad \tau_n = \Delta T b^n, \quad b = 10^{\frac{1}{m}}, \quad n = 0, \dots, m \times N \quad (3)$$

116 What results from this procedure is the set of amplitudes A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n , the spectrum of
 117 values of A (see Figures 2a and 2b). We find that $m = 1$ (one exponential per decade)
 118 provides an optimal fit. Smoother spectra could likely be obtained by choosing $m > 1$,
 119 but this would require some forms of regularization to prevent overfitting. The spectrum
 120 of values of A (Figure 2c) is termed the relaxation time spectrum and is taken as the *sig-*
 121 *nature* of the slow dynamics recovery process. A recovery process that goes as $\log(t)$
 122 implies a relaxation time spectrum that is featureless; no characteristic time or rate can
 123 be extracted, the relaxation spectrum is flat ($A_0 \sim A_1 \sim \dots$). Earlier studies [6], that typi-
 124 cally found $\log(t)$ behavior for the recovery process, were based on measuring the evo-
 125 lution of a resonance frequency. Such studies, necessarily limited by the time to sweep

127 over a resonance, typically involve $t > 10s$. Using DAET we are able to look deep inside
128 $10s$, at 5 orders of magnitude of time down to $t = 10^{-4}s$. Thus we are able to determine
129 the relaxation time spectrum that characterizes the recovery process over 8 orders of
130 magnitude, from $\Delta T = 10^{-4}s$ out to 10^4s .

131 We have made measurements on a variety of samples in a variety of circumstanc-
132 es [19]. We begin with measurements on a sample of Berea sandstone at $T = 23.0 \pm 0.2$
133 $^{\circ}C$ and $RH = 50.0 \pm 0.2 \%$. In Fig. 3a we show $\Delta c(t)/c_0$ for $10^{-4}s < t < 10^4s$ and seven
134 different pump strain amplitudes. The relaxation time spectra found from these data,
135 shown in Figure 3b, are essentially independent of the pump strain amplitude. A promi-
136 nent relaxation time near $\tau = 10^{-1}s$, independent of the pump strain amplitude, is ap-
137 parent. At late times, $t > 10^1s$, the relaxation time spectra are approximately flat sug-
138 gesting near $\log(t)$ recovery at long time, consistent with previous studies [6]. For this
139 sample keeping the temperature constant at $T = 23.0 \pm 0.2$ $^{\circ}C$ we vary the moisture con-
140 tent ($RH = 30\%$, 50% , 70% , and 90%). The normalized relaxation time spectra associ-
141 ated with the different RH are exhibited in Figure 3c. Although a change in RH affects
142 the absolute spectral amplitudes (not shown), the essential structure of the relaxation
143 time spectra remains largely unaffected. That is, the presence of different fluid configura-
144 tions in the pore space does not importantly change the shape of the relaxation time
145 spectrum.

146
147 In addition to Berea sandstone we have conducted measurements on several consoli-
148 dated porous materials systems: Berea sandstone (a sedimentary rock), Grunnes soap-
149 stone (a metamorphic rock), Berkeley Blue Granite (a crystalline rock), and a stress-
150 damaged mortar (the hardened mixture of sand and cement paste) [19]. At $T = 23.0 \pm$

152 0.2 °C and RH = 50.0 ± 0.2 %, the recovery of $\Delta c/c_0$ over time and the associated relaxa-
153 tion time spectra are shown in Fig. 4. Berea sandstone and Berkeley Blue granite have
154 qualitatively similar relaxation time spectra in which the time scale 10^{-1} s is notable. In
155 contrast, the relaxation time spectra of Grunnes soapstone and damaged mortar are rel-
156 atively featureless from 10^{-2} s to 10^4 s. One of the long-term goals of this work is to relate
157 the shape of the relaxation spectra to microstructural features to unravel the underlying
158 physical mechanisms. In future work, we will test various hypotheses on a larger series
159 of samples, including the influence of grain size, grain size distribution, porosity and
160 composition.
161 Many weakly consolidated granular materials and related systems have unusual elastic
162 properties. Earlier evidence of these was (a) mechanical hysteresis with end point
163 memory [4] and (b) unexpected nonlinear response of a resonant bar [6]. With the in-
164 troduction of pump-probe experimental methods the picture of the elastic response of
165 these systems has become refined. In the non-equilibrium steady state (domain 4 in
166 Fig. 1) the nonlinear elastic properties, captured by the nonlinear elastic constants or
167 hysteretic behavior, are changed quantitatively and qualitatively. While the β coefficient,
168 the traditional measure of cubic nonlinearity, is found to be independent of the *amplitude*
169 and *the frequency* of the pump strain field [19,20], the other nonlinear components (re-
170 lated to the averaged softening and hysteresis) do depend on both. The pump strain
171 field brings about a profound change in the elastic properties of the system. Here we
172 report the use of pump-probe methods to measure/characterize the recovery of these
173 systems from the anomalous state established by the pump strain field, i.e., the non-
174 equilibrium steady state. Fluid configurations in a porous media system are able to exert
175 forces that are equivalent to an applied stress of tens of MPa [7,21]. We find that the
forces brought to bear by fluid configurations pretty much leave the non-equilibrium

176 steady state unchanged. Similarly, changes in the amplitude of the pump strain field
177 bring about changes in the amplitude of the non-equilibrium steady state but not chang-
178 es in its character; it decays in much the same way but from larger amplitude. The decay
179 of the changes produced by the pump strain field occurs over many orders of magnitude
180 in time. Almost 75% of the changes leave the system on the time scale of the ring-down
181 of the driving field. Approximately 20% occurs on the time scale we are able to examine,
182 from 10^{-2} s to 10^4 s, and the remainder, in the noise in Fig. 2(a), can be assigned to $\log(t)$.
183 The pump strain field causes robust changes in the elastic state of the system that do
184 not leave the system immediately on their cause being removed.

185

186 Evidence for similar behavior is found in many systems across many scales for example
187 in granular media [22], damaged consolidated granular media [23], and concrete [24]. A
188 sudden drop and partial or full recovery of seismic velocities following a strong ground
189 motion event is a widespread phenomenon at field scale [25–28]. Other examples in-
190 clude the co-seismic decrease and subsequent relaxation of the fundamental resonance
191 frequencies of intact buildings [29]. Permeability was also observed to relax after modifi-
192 cations in effective stress field caused by pore pressure oscillations aimed at e.g., dy-
193 namic permeability enhancement vital to energy recovery applications [30].

194

195 The pump strain field is the carefully controlled laboratory realization of the, transient,
196 incoherent, elastic noise fields in which many granular media systems are awash, e.g., a
197 formation adjacent to a borehole, a bridge pier, a segment of a fault system. From pump-
198 probe measures of the modified elastic state and of the persistence of that elastic state
199 over time we learn that these systems are not simply passively moving toward a critical
200 state. They are continually driven dynamically, having a stochastic component to their
201 character that makes deterministic characterization difficult.

Acknowledgements

203 This work was supported by a grant from the DOE Office of Basic Energy Science (DE-
204 SC0017585) to PS. JR was supported by a Marie-Curie Fellowship (Award number
205 655833) from the European Research Council. PAJ and RAG gratefully acknowledge
206 support from the DOE Office of Basic Energy Science.

207

References

- 209 [1] C. J. Hapke and K. R. Green, *Geology* **34**, 1077 (2006).
210 [2] B.-H. Chin and K. Aki, *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **81**, 1859 (1991).
211 [3] A. J. Patitsas, *J. Fluids Struct.* **17**, 287 (2003).
212 [4] R. A. Guyer, K. R. McCall, and G. N. Boitnott, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 3491
213 (1995).
214 [5] J. A. T. Cate and T. J. Shankland, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **23**, 3019 (1996).
215 [6] J. A. TenCate, E. Smith, and R. A. Guyer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 1020 (2000).
216 [7] R. A. Guyer and P. A. Johnson, *Nonlinear Mesoscopic Elasticity: The Com-*
217 *plex Behaviour of Rocks, Soil, Concrete* (John Wiley & Sons, 2009).
218 [8] F. D. Adams and E. G. Coker, *An Investigation Into the Elastic Constants of*
219 *Rocks: More Especially with Reference to Cubic Compressibility* (Carnegie
220 Institution of Washington, 1906).
221 [9] O. I. Lobkis and R. L. Weaver, *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **125**, 1894 (2009).
222 [10] N. Tremblay, E. Larose, and V. Rossetto, *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **127**, 1239
223 (2010).
224 [11] O. O. Vakhnenko, V. O. Vakhnenko, and T. J. Shankland, *Phys. Rev. B* **71**,
225 (2005).
226 [12] R. Snieder, C. Sens-Schönfelder, and R. Wu, *Geophys. J. Int.* **208**, 1 (2017).
227 [13] P. A. Johnson, A. Lebedev, L. Ostrovsky, and J. Rivière, in *20th Int. Symp.*
228 *Nonlinear Acoust.* (Lyon, France, 2015).
229 [14] A. Amir, S. Borini, Y. Oreg, and Y. Imry, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 186407
230 (2011).
231 [15] Y. Lahini, O. Gottesman, A. Amir, and S. M. Rubinstein, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*
232 **118**, 085501 (2017).
233 [16] G. Renaud, S. Callé, and M. Defontaine, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **94**, 011905
234 (2009).
235 [17] G. Renaud, M. Talmant, S. Callé, M. Defontaine, and P. Laugier, *J. Acoust.*
236 *Soc. Am.* **130**, 3583 (2011).
237 [18] J. Rivière, G. Renaud, R. A. Guyer, and P. A. Johnson, *J. Appl. Phys.* **114**,
238 054905 (2013).
239 [19] J. Rivière, P. Shokouhi, R. A. Guyer, and P. A. Johnson, *J. Geophys. Res.*
240 *Solid Earth* **120**, 1587 (2015).

- 242 [20] J. Rivière, L. Pimienta, M. Scuderi, T. Candela, P. Shokouhi, J. Fortin, A.
243 Schubnel, C. Marone, and P. A. Johnson, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **43**, 3226
244 (2016).
245 [21] A. H. Kim and R. A. Guyer, *Nonlinear Elasticity and Hysteresis: Fluid-Solid*
246 *Coupling in Porous Media* (John Wiley & Sons, 2014).
247 [22] T. Brunet, X. Jia, and P. A. Johnson, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **35**, (2008).
248 [23] M. Scalerandi, M. Griffa, P. Antonaci, M. Wyrzykowski, and P. Lura, *J. Appl.*
249 *Phys.* **113**, 154902 (2013).
250 [24] P. Shokouhi, J. Rivière, C. R. Lake, P.-Y. Le Bas, and T. J. Ulrich, *Ultrason-*
251 *ics* **81**, 59 (2017).
252 [25] E. H. Field, P. A. Johnson, I. A. Beresnev, and Y. Zeng, *Nature* **390**, 599
253 (1997).
254 [26] J. E. Vidale and Y.-G. Li, *Nature* **421**, 524 (2003).
255 [27] F. Brenguier, M. Campillo, C. Hadziioannou, N. M. Shapiro, R. M. Nadeau,
256 and E. Larose, *Science* **321**, 1478 (2008).
257 [28] C. Wu, A. Delorey, F. Brenguier, C. Hadziioannou, E. G. Daub, and P. John-
258 son, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **43**, 6129 (2016).
259 [29] P. Guéguen, P. Johnson, and P. Roux, *J. Acoust. Soc. Am.* **140**, 582 (2016).
260 [30] J. E. Elkhoury, E. E. Brodsky, and D. C. Agnew, *Nature* **441**, 1135 (2006).

261

262 Figure Captions

263 Figure 1: Schematic DAET setup and typical results: (a) pump strain time history from
264 R_1 ; (b) associated sound velocity change from $S_2 - R_2$. The numbers mark the six time
265 domains in the evolution of the state of the sample.

266

267 Figure 2: The scheme to find the relaxation time spectrum from the sound velocity evolu-
268 tion in domain 6: (a) the data is sampled and fit by a sum of exponential decays with
269 amplitude to be determined; (b) a set of 7 exponential decay terms; (c) the amplitude of
270 the 7 exponential decays in (b). The fit ζ is shown in (a).

271

272 Figure 3: The recovery of Berea sandstone from non-equilibrium steady state set by dif-
273 ferent pump strain amplitudes: (a) $\Delta c/c_0$ versus time t for $t > t_0$ (time axis is logarith-
274 mic); (b) 'relaxation time spectrum' for recovery shown in (a) [these spectra are normed

276 to 1, $\sum A_n = 1$]; (c) Normalized relaxation time spectrum for Berea sandstone for various
277 relative humidity (RH). The pump strain amplitude is indicated in the legend. Note that
278 the overall shape of the spectra is unaffected by the change in RH.

279 Figure 4: The recovery from the non-equilibrium steady state for Grunnes soapstone,
280 Berea sandstone, Berkeley Blue granite and damaged mortar: (a) Velocity change $\Delta c/c_0$
281 versus time t ; (b) the relaxation time spectra from the data in (a) [these spectra are
282 normed to 1, $\sum A_n = 1$].







